## Pupil Premium strategy statement academic year 2020-21

Initial plan Pupil Premium governor champions: Mr Colin Avern, PP champions: Mrs Sandra Wiseman/Mrs Julie Hale

Funding for Pupil Premium (PP) pupils is targeted well. Additional teaching hours allow for specialist tuition and small group teaching and teaching assistants have been trained to provide help in individual subjects. Consequently, all pupils who receive extra help are doing very well in reading, writing and numeracy.

## No SATs data for 2019-2020, last year's results below.

Progress 2018	Reaside Academy	Frankley Great Park Ward	Northfield	Birmingham
Reading	+1.9	-2.1	-1.0	-0.3
Writing	-2.5	-2.3	-1.2	-0.3
Maths	+1.8	-1.6	-0.8	+0.2

I. Summary inform	ation				
School	Reaside Academy				
Academic Year	2019/20	Total PP budget April 19/20	£203,000	Date of most recent external PP Review	Rachel Garrett – TLIF lead 9 <sup>th</sup> May 2019
Total Number of pupils	208	Number of pupils eligible for PP	PEI	Date for next internal review of this strategy	May 2020

2. Current attainment - KS2 2019 SAT	Pupils eligible for PP (school)	All Pupils (school)	All pupils (National Average)
Reading : ARE	81%	85%	73%
Reading: GD	48%	45%	27%

Writing : ARE	83%	83%	78%
Writing: GD	17%	2 %	20%
SPAG: ARE	90%	9l%	78%
SPAG:GD	45%	5 %	36%
Maths: ARE	79%	79%	79%
Maths: GD	24%	25%	27%
Reading/Writing/Maths combined: ARE	74%	72%	65%
Reading/Writing/Maths combined: GD	12%	II%	ll%

## Additional detail

The Deprivation Pupil Premium funding is an amount of money that continues to be allocated by the government to support the education of those children who are deemed to be at a disadvantage. It allows Reaside Academy to form an annual strategy to ensure PP pupils are given an equal opportunity to succeed and reach their full academic potential measured from their starting points and national expectations.

The illustrative amount for 2019-2020 was £203,000. The final figure confirmed in April is: £189,884. This is based on 130 pupils who are eligible for this additional funding and represents 60% of our school roll. The PP funding for Children Looked After has been currently confirmed at £1,950 for 1 child LAC. There are no service children currently on roll.

The Deprivation Pupil Premium funding continues to be a vital source of funding for our school, as the staff team ensure we diminish the difference in achievement and directly tackle disadvantage, inequality and the effects of poverty.

Our vision remains steadfast and clear. Every penny of this funding will be used to diminish achievement inequality, inspire aspirations and ensure all PP children have the opportunity to excel. Our sustained strategic energy and drive continues to ensure every pupil succeeds at the highest level, leaves our school secondary ready and has a firm foundation of skills, knowledge and experiences to help them succeed in their future life and in the workplace. We believe it is their entitlement.

We continue to adopt successful approaches suggested by others. We know that it is vital to continue to interweave what is proven good practice in to the plan and work in partnership with others to achieve success. In 2020/21 we are working in networks with local schools, University of Wolverhampton MAT, IQM network schools and through partnerships forged with our HUB schools.

The use of research to improve the quality of teaching and learning in our school is becoming more widespread as we take our carefully managed journey, one step at a time, towards being optimal in our provision. In the 2020-21 strategic plan we have taken into consideration: TLIF programme; Research reports from Creative Education; the DfE pupil absence report. Additionally, we have gleaned successful strategies from external training and scholarship.

We have separate dedicated achievement meetings to analyse the data and plan for the learning flight paths of those children who are deemed to be at a disadvantage. Collaborative PPA, progress meetings, formal achievement meetings, PPM sessions continue to give on-going opportunities to analyse progress and target pupil underachievement for all children within our school. Pupil achievement and progress from starting points is monitored each term. On-going information is shared with the staff team electronically as we move towards being a paper free school. Vulnerable pupils or cohorts are monitored every six

weeks by the achievement leads. There are a few targeted children whose progress is monitored on a weekly basis. These systems give the layered Leadership Team the flexibility to amend PP spending strategies as we drive progress forward through the year, based upon up-to-date attainment and secured by formative assessment findings. An audit of the impact of Teaching and Learning is carried out through our 'Learning weeks', so that collaborative expertise can be shared and best practice elicited for consideration in the 2020-21 plan. The 2019-2020 plan has been fully reviewed in May 2020, will be monitored by PP governors in November 2020 and presented to the Governing Board at the IO December 2020 Governing Board meeting.

3. Barrie	rs to attainment
In-school ba	rriers (issues to be addressed in school)
Α	Basic skills in Reading (comprehension), Maths Reasoning skills, Writing (SPAG) and Communication skills are low on entry in Year 3. Progress
	is slow during Autumn Term following transition from Holly Hill feeder school
В	SEN/D and Low Ability - Pupils are not making sufficient progress from their starting points on entry in Yr3 to Yr5
С	A large number of pupils have emotional resilience and mental health issues that prevents them from making good progress from their
	starting points on entry (KSI levels)
External bar	riers (issues which also require action outside of school)
D	Explore the attainment of pupils who have between 90% - 96% attendance. Does this level of absence cause a disadvantage and impact on
	progress and attainment? Is a catch-up package needed? If so in all subjects or just some?

4. C	Outcomes	
	Desired outcomes and how they will be measured	Success criteria
	Improve rate of progress for pupil's entering school in Year 3 through smaller class size,	Pupils eligible for PP in Year 3 make rapid progress by the end of the
	additional support and quality first teaching	Autumn Term and continue to meet attainment and progress targets at the
		end of the year
2	Improved rates of progress across KS2 SEN/D and low attaining pupils (KSI prior	Pupils eligible for PP identified as SEN/D, prior LA/MA band make rapid
	attainment bands) eligible for PP	progress across KS2 in reading, writing and maths. Accurate teacher
		assessments based on agreed termly test and successful moderation
		practices established across the MAT give a clear indication of progress and
		attainment from their starting points.
3	Increased support for pupils with challenging barriers to learning that significantly	Pupil's identified for additional support for mental health and emotional
	affects progress over KS2	resilience issues make good progress from their starting points

4	Increased teacher support for Year 6 pupils	Year 6 pupil's eligible for PP identified as falling behind expected started
		to receive focused, individualised support to ensure standards are reached
		in Reading, Wiring and Maths at the end of KS2.
5	Closely monitored rates of attendance for pupils eligible for PP	Attendance for PP pupils to be at or above 96.0%
6	Improved confidence and resilience of Year 4 pupils through Forest schools	Year 4 pupils develop self-confidence, perseverance and resilience traits
		alongside improved collaborative learning which enables them to make rapid
		progress.

5.	Planned	expend	liture
----	---------	--------	--------

Academic Year 2020/21

The three headings below enable schools to demonstrate how they are using the pupil premium to improve classroom pedagogy, provide targeted support and support whole school strategies.

i. Quality of te	i. Quality of teaching for all				
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this	How will you ensure it is	Staff Lead	Review of
		choice?	implemented well?		implementation
A. Improved rate of	Two additional Teaching	We want to invest some of the PPG in	Regular Pupil Progress	HT/AHT	Termly
progress for Year 3	Assistants/Pastoral Team members	longer term change which will help all	meetings and book scrutiny		-
pupils on entry through	appointed Year 3 cohort to support	pupils. Many different evidence sources, e.g.	across 2 Year 3 classes.		OC - £19,500
Autumn Term that is	transition (due to COVID 19). They	EEF Toolkit suggest that although reducing	Tracking Teacher Assessment		SB - £24,750
then sustained over	will also support the mental	class size and small group tuition is high	data and standardised test		
KS2	health and wellbeing (targeted	cost it does have an impact value of	scores over 3 terms and		
	support with children highlighted	between 3 and 4 months which would	comparing rates of progress		
	by class teachers and SLT).	considerable reduce the impact of	with previous cohorts		
	-	transition from Infant to Junior school			Cost: £44,250
B. Improved progress for	Quality CPD on reading skills,	LA and MA pupils eligible for PP are	CPD cycle and quality and	AHT-English	Termly
pupils with low	writing and maths reasoning and	making less progress than other HA/LA/MA	impact of training on teachers	MLT - Maths	
attainment (Prior KSI	mastery approaches led by	pupils across Key Stage 2 in Reading,	and TA's practices improves	SEND Co Lead	HLTA's
results) - Year 6 AHT	SLT/MLT members and external	Writing and Maths. We want to ensure that	quality first teaching and		EW (from Oct
support - Year 3 to 5	providers. Quality resources to	these pupils make good progress from their	pupil progress This will be		2020)- Year 4 -
on entry from Holly Hill	support LA/MA pupils Regular CPD	starting points in Year 3. We want teachers	monitored through lesson		£16,000
Infants	on Quality First Teaching	to receive good quality CPD from school	observations, learning weeks		VT - Year 6 -
	approaches to improve practice	leaders or external providers. This requires	and walks and book scrutinies		£21,880
	and ensure good practice is	leaders to have additional release time	alongside data analysis. The		
	· ·	other than statutory PPA. In addition, more	monitoring will be conducted		

shared. Additional support as needed.	resources are required to ensure that the provision for these vulnerable groups is appropriate. We wish to maintain the level of support in each classroom by ensuring there is an experienced and effective Teaching Assistant (Level 3 or HLTA). HLTA in year 3 and Year 6.	by MLT, SLT and Governors. External monitoring will be conducted by the University of Wolverhampton MAT.	Cost: £37,880
,	Total budgeted cost	,	£82,I30

ii. Targeted support	ii. Targeted support				
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	What is the evidence and rationale for this choice?	How will you ensure it is implemented well?	Staff Lead	Review of implementation
C. Improved progress for pupils displaying challenging barriers to learning including emotional resilience and mental health issues	Embed current practice - bespoke family support worker. This is a weekly on-going package. A Service Level Agreement has been signed.	We aim to support an increasing number of pupils who display persistent barriers to learning and affect the learning in the classroom day to day. EEF Toolkit indicates that targeting behaviours through specific intervention can have an impact value of 3 months and if linked to meta-cognition and self-regulation this can increase to 8 months	This is a long term project that can benefit identified individuals as well as whole cohorts and classes. Although implementation is complete it will take 12 months before impact of spending can be measured. The progress of pupil's who are referred to Lisa will be tracked through the school's data  Referrals made as part of the dialogue within pastoral care team meetings - Senco (lead), LM, School nurse, HT, SLT and TA's team meetings to measure impact weekly and recorded within the safeguarding file	HT AHT SEND Lead	Yearly  LS - £8,000  SEND Lead - 3/5  days - £34,372  Cost: £42,372
D. Identified Year 6 pupils make rapid progress through focused support and reach expected standard by end of KS2	Additional teacher support for Year 6 pupils identified as not on target to reach expected standard	We aim to support a number of eligible pupils through intensive support. Short, regular sessions of tuition have been identified by EEF Toolkit linked to extensive	AHT to support Year 6 class teachers. She will discuss progress of pupils and track progress data over Autumn and Spring Term and KS2 SATs	AHT	End of Autumn, Spring and Summer term data 2018

evidence as having high impact despite the high cost — an impact measure of 5 months has been measured on a basis of 3 to 5 30minutes sessions over a period of 6-12 weeks. Additional adult support has previously been shown to improve confidence and self -esteem which is transferred to other areas of the curriculum	AHT - 2 days support to ensure quality first teaching in place from all teaching staff in year 6  Cost: £27,398
Total budgeted cost	£69,770

iii. Other approaches					
Desired outcome	Chosen action/approach	What is the evidence and	How will you ensure it is implemented	Staff Lead	Review of
		rationale for this choice?	well?		implementation
E. Maintain high attendance	Continue attendance	We can't improve attainment	Learning Mentor/Pastoral TA to monitor	Attendance	Termly
rates for PP pupils	strategies: To fully fund	for children if they aren't	attendance daily, weekly and half termly.	officer	
	the Attendance/Family	actually attending school. NfER			DSL - MS £1,000
	support worker/Deputy	briefing for school leaders	Weekly data on attendance and meetings		NJ - Attendance
	DSP/Pastoral team	identifies addressing	with DSL and fortnightly attendance		support £2,323
	member. Continue	attendance as a key step.	reports from DSL for all groups of pupils		
	attendance incentives and		including PPG, PPG Boys and PPG girls		
	rewards ie Weekly				
	attendance awards				
					Cost: £3,323
F. Improved confidence and	Year 4 to engage with	EEF Toolkit indicates that	Year 4 pupil's progress data will be tracked	Year 4	Termly
collaboration through Outdoor	Forest School activities (at	Outdoor Education Activities	through the year Teacher's voice and	teacher/ SLT	
Adventure Experience		that focus on improving pupil's	feedback will indicate the impact the		

	a higher level) throughout	confidence, resilience and	activities have had on pupil's confidence,		Forest School
	the academic year.	collaborative learning can	resilience and collaborative skills		Year 4 - £5,000
		have an impact value of 3			
		months. This would be most			
		beneficial for Year 4.			Cost: £5,000
G. Swimming	Whole school has the	Swimming activities that focus	All year groups will be tracked through the	Class	Transport-
	opportunity to learn to	on improving pupil's	year Teacher's voice and feedback will	teachers/SLT	£4,000
	swim.	confidence, resilience and	indicate the impact the activities have had		Swimming Pool
		collaborative learning can	on pupil's confidence, skills, resilience and		costs £2,500
		have an impact value of 3	collaborative skills.		
		months. This would be most			
		beneficial for all year groups			Cost: £6,500
		post COVID- 19.			
Total budgeted cost					

## Key:

Educational spend	£109,528
Pastoral spend	£56,195

Total current expenditure = £165,723

Contingency funding = £7,782

As the Pupil premium funding is currently only an indicative amount we have held back a small contingency fund. We review our Pupil Premium spending and needs for interventions every half term as part of our Pupil Progress and Provision Mapping meetings. By holding a small contingency fund, we will be able to respond to any future needs for our pupil premium children as they arise.

Total = £173,505